

October 21, 2013

Mr. R. Brooks Moore Managing Counsel, Governance The Texas A&M University System 301 Tarrow Street, Sixth Floor College Station, Texas 77840-7896

OR2013-18242

Dear Mr. Moore:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 502908 (TAMU 13-445).

Texas A&M University (the "university") received a request for information pertaining to request for proposal 13-0034. You state the university will release some of the requested information. Although you take no position as to whether the submitted information is excepted under the Act, you state release of this information may implicate the proprietary interests of Lodestone Social Media, Your Speakeasy, Mavensocial, MOSAK Advertising & Insights, and Sammis & Ochoa. Accordingly, you state you notified these parties of the request for information and of their right to submit arguments to this office as to why the information at issue should not be released. See Gov't Code § 552.305(d); see also Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have received comments on behalf of Your Speakeasy. We have reviewed the submitted information and submitted arguments.

Initially, we note an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure.

See Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from Lodestone Social Media, Mavensocial, MOSAK Advertising & Insights, or Sammis & Ochoa explaining why the submitted information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude these notified parties have protected proprietary interests in the submitted information. See id. § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish prima facie case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the university may not withhold the submitted information on the basis of any proprietary interest Lodestone Social Media, Mavensocial, MOSAK Advertising & Insights, or Sammis & Ochoa may have in the information.

Your Speakeasy claims portions of its information are excepted from disclosure under section 552.110 of the Government Code. Section 552.110 protects (1) trade secrets and (2) commercial or financial information the disclosure of which would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained. See Gov't Code § 552.110(a)-(b). Section 552.110(a) protects trade secrets obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision. Id. § 552.110(a). The Texas Supreme Court has adopted the definition of trade secret from section 757 of the Restatement of Torts, which holds a trade secret to be:

any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one's business, and which gives him an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business . . . in that it is not simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business . . . A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business. . . . [It may] relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); see also Hyde Corp. v. Huffines, 314 S.W.2d 776 (Tex. 1958). In determining whether particular information constitutes a trade secret, this office considers the Restatement's definition of trade secret as well as the

Restatement's list of six trade secret factors.¹ RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b. This office must accept a claim information subject to the Act is excepted as a trade secret if a prima facie case for the exception is made and no argument is submitted that rebuts the claim as a matter of law. See Open Records Decision No. 552 at 5 (1990). However, we cannot conclude section 552.110(a) is applicable unless it has been shown the information meets the definition of a trade secret and the necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish a trade secret claim. Open Records Decision No. 402 (1983). We note pricing information pertaining to a particular contract is generally not a trade secret because it is "simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business," rather than "a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business." RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b; see also Huffines, 314 S.W.2d at 776; Open Records Decision Nos. 255 (1980), 232 (1979), 217 (1978).

Section 552.110(b) protects "[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained[.]" Gov't Code § 552.110(b). This exception to disclosure requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing, not conclusory or generalized allegations, substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the information at issue. *Id.*; *see also* ORD No. 661 at 5 (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm).

We understand Your Speakeasy to claim portions of its information are protected by section 552.110(b). Upon review, we find Your Speakeasy has not demonstrated portions of the information at issue constitute commercial or financial information, the release of which would cause substantial competitive injury. Accordingly, the university must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code. We note, however, Your Speakeasy has published the identities of some of its

¹The Restatement of Torts lists the following six factors as indicia of whether information constitutes a trade secret:

⁽¹⁾ the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company];

⁽²⁾ the extent to which it is known by employees and other involved in [the company's] business;

⁽³⁾ the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information;

⁽⁴⁾ the value of the information to [the company] and [its] competitors;

⁽⁵⁾ the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing the information;

⁽⁶⁾ the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b; see also Open Records Decision Nos. 319 at 2 (1982), 306 at 2 (1982), 255 at 2 (1980).

customers on its website. Thus, Your Speakeasy has failed to demonstrate how release of the information it has published on its website would cause substantial competitive injury. Additionally, we find Your Speakeasy has made only conclusory allegations that the release of any of its remaining information would result in substantial harm to its competitive position. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 661 (for information to be withheld under commercial or financial information prong of section 552.110, business must show by specific factual evidence that substantial competitive injury would result from release of particular information at issue), 319 at 3 (information relating to organization and personnel, professional references, market studies, qualifications, and pricing are not ordinarily excepted from disclosure under statutory predecessor to section 552.110). Accordingly, the university may not withhold any of Your Speakeasy's remaining information under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code.

We understand Your Speakeasy to claim portions of its remaining information constitute trade secrets under section 552.110(a) of the Government Code. Upon review, we find Your Speakeasy has failed to establish a *prima facie* case that portions of its information constitute trade secret information. Further, we find Your Speakeasy not demonstrated the necessary factors to establish a trade secret claim for their remaining information. *See* ORD 402. Therefore, the university may not withhold any of Your Speakeasy's remaining information under section 552.110(a).

We note some of the information at issue may be protected by copyright. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. Open Records Decision No. 180 at 3 (1977). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.*; see Open Records Decision No. 109 (1975). If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit.

In summary, the university must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released; however, any information that is subject to copyright may be released only in accordance with copyright law.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/

orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Megan G. Holloway Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

Megan Gittolloway

MGH/dls

Ref: ID# 502908

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor (w/o enclosures)

Your Speakeasy, LLC c/o Mr. Andrew H. Roberts Sloan & Roberts, PLLC 5950 Berkshire Lane, Suite 450 Dallas, Texas 75225-5835 (w/o enclosures)

Mr. Mark Drosos Lodestone Social Media c/o Mr. R. Brooks Moore Managing Counsel, Governance The Texas A&M University System 301 Tarrow Street, Sixth Floor College Station, Texas 77840-7896 (w/o enclosures)

Mavensocial c/o Mr. R. Brooks Moore Managing Counsel, Governance The Texas A&M University System 301 Tarrow Street, Sixth Floor College Station, Texas 77840-7896 (w/o enclosures) Ms. Monique Threadgill
MOSAK Advertising & Insights
c/o Mr. R. Brooks Moore
Managing Counsel, Governance
The Texas A&M University System
301 Tarrow Street, Sixth Floor
College Station, Texas 77840-7896
(w/o enclosures)

Sammis & Ochoa c/o Mr. R. Brooks Moore Managing Counsel, Governance The Texas A&M University System 301 Tarrow Street, Sixth Floor College Station, Texas 77840-7896 (w/o enclosures)